

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/696,418	10/29/2003	William P. Fell		6737	
25853 7	590 04/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
MICHAEL TAVELLA 2051 BRIGADIER DRIVE			AVILA, ST	EPHEN P	
ANCHORAGE			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2009	DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>.</u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/696,418	FELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The SEAN INC. DATE And	Stephen Avila	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a recognition of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 F</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This					
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	-x parte quayle, 1955 C.D	. 11, 433 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Solution disperse claim(s) islate withdrawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-15 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 16-18 and 20 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in A	oplication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)		,			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ummary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Diansperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Giacosa. Giacosa discloses the claimed subject matter including a steering system for a boat having an outboard motor with a jet drive output with a directional nozzle 17, pivoting means 22, an adapter ring P1, P2, brackets I1, I2 attached to the nozzle and pivotable with respect to the ring, and a curved hood 23 pivotably attached to the nozzle (at 24) and raising and lowering means.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 4. Claims 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Buehler. Giacosa does not disclose a tiller. Buehler teaches a tiller 35 with speed control 85. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Giacosa with a tiller for steering and speed control as taught by Buehler to be more easily operated.
- 5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Chronic. Giacosa does not disclose a cable actuator. Chronic teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/696,418

Art Unit: 3617

cables 24, 43. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Giacosa with cables to control the nozzle and hood as taught by Chronic for light weight.

- 6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Ito et al. Giacosa does not disclose handlebars. Ito et al teach handlebars 90 in a steering system. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the steering system of Giacosa with handlebars as taught by Ito et al for ease of steering.
- 7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giacosa in view of Buehler as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Chronic. Giacosa does not disclose a cable actuator. Chronic teaches cables 24, 43. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Giacosa with cables to control the nozzle and hood as taught by Chronic for light weight.
- 8. Claims 16-18 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 21-27 are allowed.
- 10. Applicant's arguments filed January 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that Giacosa does not disclose an adapter ring. However, the ring containing surfaces P1 and P2 adapts the nozzle 17 to the nozzle 15. It is also clearly ring shaped, so that it is an adapter ring. Note Figure 2, for example

Application/Control Number: 10/696,418

Art Unit: 3617

which clearly shows that the ring containing P1 and P2 are separate from nozzle 15.

Also not that adapter ring has no meaning within the art, and must be given its broadest interpretation.

Applicant does not separately argue the combination rejections, and thus the combinations are deemed to be proper.

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 703-308-2578 (April 12, 2005, the examiner's phone number will change to 571-272-6678). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8 AM to 4 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/696,418

Art Unit: 3617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617 Page 5
